1 Bennet Kelley (SBN 177001) INTERNET LAW CENTER 2 100 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 700 Santa Monica, CA 90401 Telephone: (310) 452-0401 4 Facsimile: (702) 924-8740 bkelley@internetlawcenter.net 5 6 FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION 7 8) WC Docket No. 17-108 In the Matter of Restoring Internet Freedom 9 **COMMENTS OF** INTERNET LAW CENTER 10 11 12 13 As a law firm that represents numerous starts up and has participated in the booming and 14 innovative Silicon Beach economy here in Southern California, I am a well aware of how the 15 internet fuels innovation and brings value to consumers. 16 As an award winning blogger, I am very much aware of how the internet has promoted 17 robust debate on a variety of issues from hot button political topics to the mundane over what a 18 color a dress may be. 19 As the host of the long-running Cyber Law & Business Report, I have devoted many 20 shows to the need to expand broadband access in the United States, to remain competitive in 21 broadband speeds and how the larger internet service providers have played a role in stifling 22 competition particularly at the municipal level. 23 I am writing because I am concerned that the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking will be 24 harmful to the internet, will stifle innovation, endanger free speech and fail to address the needs of 25 expanding high-speed broadband in the United States. 26 I would like to borrow from my comments recently published in Huffington Post on this 27 issue.

28

I. NET NEUTRALITY IS NOTHING NEW

First, let's deal with the basics. Net neutrality is not some new concept that President Obama pulled out of a hat, but rather is a principle dating back to the Pacific Telegraph Act of 1860 that communication providers not discriminate in transmitting content and instead impartially transmit all messages in the order of their reception.

All this changed, however, under President George W. Bush when his FCC Chairman elected to remove broadband services from common carrier obligations under the Communications Act. President Obama's Net Neutrality regulations simply reasserted the FCC's authority and restored longstanding rules against discrimination.

II. NET NEUTRALITY IS ABOUT FREE SPEECH

Net Neutrality is all about ensuring a free and open internet where robust debate is not stifled by internet service providers (ISPs). For example, Verizon, which challenge the regulations in court, had blocked pro-choice text messages and argued during its challenge to the rules that it had a First Amendment right to censor traffic on its network. Another ISP blocked access to nearly 800 websites supporting a union strike against the carrier.

The repeal effort is yet another example of powerful corporate interests trying to suppress free speech as demonstrated in the recent documentary "Nobody Speak: Trials of the Free Press" and Donald Trump's war against a free press.

III. NET NEUTRALITY IS ABOUT INNOVATION AND COMPETITION

A free market in which consumers are allowed to pick and choose the devices, programs and services they like promotes competition and advances innovation. AT&T, Sprint and Verizon have all blocked competing products ranging from Google Wallet to Skype, while a number of ISPs have redirected internet search results to the ISPs favored browser.

IV. NET NEUTRALITY IS ABOUT CONSUMER CHOICE AND NON-DISCRIMINATION

Net Neutrality is about consumer choice and non-discrimination. Net Neutrality seeks to ensure that the consumer is able to freely use the websites and services they select when they

select them. ISPs, however, want to pick favorites. Comcast has throttled and blocked users to prevent access to file sharing sites; MetroPCS has blocked streaming video; while AT&T has throttled heavy users in its "unlimited data" plan.

ISPs also dream of an internet with toll lanes, where they can give preferred access to websites that pay for priority access as Comcast demanded of Netflix and Verizon conceded it hoped to do so in its court challenge.

V. THE NPRM IGNORES ACTUAL HARM AND FINDS HARM WHERE NONE EXISTS

FCC Chairman Pai recently shut down investigations into AT&T and Verizon who effectively charged more for the use of third-party streaming media by excluding their own streaming media apps from customers' data caps. Amazingly, Pai questions whether the FCC even needs to address issues such as throttling and paid priority lanes.

While Pai and others falsely condemn Net Neutrality as a government takeover of the internet, the reality is that Pai's proposal shifts control of the internet from you to the ISPs.

Essentially, Chairman Pai is asking us to trust the kind-hearted ISPs like AT&T who sued to block the Net Neutrality rules but claims it will participate in the Day of Action because it supports a free and open internet.

The Internet Law Center agrees with the filing of the Internet Association that:

There is no reliable evidence that the 2015 Order has reduced ISPs' investments in broadband infrastructure. Comprehensive economic research by IA has found that ISP investment is up over time, and shows no decline as a result of the Commission's 2015 Order...Multiple, independent metrics — from actual capital expenditure numbers, to capacity, to prices — demonstrate that ISP claims of depressed investment don't mesh with reality."

CONCLUSION

The Internet Law Center firmly believes that the internet belongs to netizens and that

1	internet service providers should not be able to use their position to prevent competition, choice or	
2	free speech. Unfortunately, the NRPM is leading us down that path and must be rejected.	
3	3	
4		
5	Dated: July 17, 2017	ERNET LAW CENTER
6		. 0 4 00
7	Ben	net G. Kelley
8		et G. Kelley
9	100 V	Wilshire Blvd, Suite 700 Monica, CA 90401
10)	i Wollica, CA 90401
11		
12		
13	3	
14		
15	5	
16		
17	,	
18	3	
19		
20		
21		
22	2	
23	3	
24		
25		
26		
27	,	
28	Page 4	